

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/045,830	10/29/2001	Eugene Dolgoff	H35-032	3697	
	3775	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
		CHNOLOGY LAW, P.C	SUGARMAN, SCOTT J			
	P. O. BOX 209 SWARTHMORE, PA 19081-0209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2873	2873	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application f	No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/045,830		DOLGOFF, EUGENE						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Scott J. Suga	rman	2873						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)[🛛	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 March 2004.									
• —		o)⊠ This action is non-	final.							
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 										
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary							
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-16-04</u> .	TO/SB/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-7 (Group I) in the reply filed on March 16, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims of Group II define a method, and the claims of Group I define apparatus particularly adapted to perform the method of the claims of Group II. This is not found persuasive because as indicated in the restriction, the article claims recite structure that put them in a different classification than the method (which does not require the particulars of the recited structure).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larussa. Larussa teaches an image display system having a composite image source (66), a portion of the composite image source being a first image source (32a), and another portion of the composite image source being a second image source (32b); a beamcombiner (58); a single lens (10). Larussa does not specifically teach a reflective Application/Control Number: 10/045,830

Art Unit: 2873

element disposed to reflect the image of said second image source to said beamcombiner in the same embodiment as Fig. 12, but does teach multiple mirrors to reflect the various image sources in the embodiment of Fig. 5A. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reflect the second image source to the beamcombiner, since as taught in another embodiment in Larussa, a mirror can be used to reflect the various image sources. A background image can be presented at a greater distance from the viewer than the foreground image (col. 8, lines 52-58), at least one of the images being a real image or virtual image presented by the single lens; whereby the viewer perceives the foreground image and the background image as part of a scene having depth (col. 3, lines 57-61).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Larson is cited to show a virtual image projection system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott J. Sugarman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

sjs June 10, 2004